

From: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Chen, Lily \(Fed\)](#); [Liu, Yi-Kai \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: Re: PQC annual report
Date: Thursday, October 26, 2017 10:12:23 AM

Thanks for the suggestions.

As for the table, I was considering adding it because Patrick asked for more graphics and tables to be in the Annual Report. Maybe we could say "larger key sizes may be needed" instead of just "larger key sizes needed" (and similar for hash functions). If we have the table in there, I don't want to have a long explanation with it.

Dustin

From: Chen, Lily (Fed)
Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2017 9:54:56 AM
To: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed); Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Subject: RE: PQC annual report

Dustin and Yi-Kai,

Thanks. I suggest two minor changes, at two places change "early FY 17" and "early FY 18" to the month and year, because not all the people understand our FY.

Another place is about symmetric key size. Do we need a footnote?

Lily

-----Original Message-----

From: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed)
Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 10:52 PM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Cc: Chen, Lily (Fed) <lily.chen@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: PQC annual report

Hi Dustin,

Thanks! I think it looks good. I just made a few minor suggestions.

Cheers,

--Yi-Kai

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Monday, October 23, 2017 1:58:14 PM
To: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed)
Cc: Chen, Lily (Fed)
Subject: PQC annual report

Yi-Kai/Lily,

Let me know if you have any suggestions.

Dustin